# UNIVERSITY OF QUEENSLAND SCHOOL OF PSYCHOLOGY

#### Slide 1

## PSYC 1020 Sensing and Perceiving II

Topics: Colour

Size, Distance & Shape

Figure-Ground Perception (Gestalt Psychology).

\*Westen et al. Ch 5 pp. 119-76

\*\*Bond/McConkey Prt 3 pp. 1-39

#### Slide 2

#### **Colour Vision**

Trichromacy

H. von Helmholtz (19th century) Thomas Young (19th century)

Visual Representation (Artists/Painters)

Red (long), Green (medium), Blue (short)

Westen et al. Ch 5 pp. 138-40 Bond/McConkey Prt 3 pp. 13-17

#### Slide 3

#### **Colour Vision**

Trichromacy & Colour Mixture

**Primary Colours** 

Hue

Saturation

Brightness

Westen et al. Ch 5 pp. 138-140 Bond/McConkey Prt 3 pp. 13-17

#### Slide 4

#### **Chromatic Adaptation**

**Negative Colour Afterimages** 

Induction Figure: Flag - green/black/yellow

**Complementary Colours** 

Test FigureBlank, light grey field

Observe negative (complementary afterimage)

Westen et al. Ch 5 p. 129 + pp. 138-40 Bond/McConkey Prt 3 pp. 16-17

#### Slide 5

#### **Colour Vision**

Opponent processing

E. Hering (19th century) addressed:

The problem of perceiving yellow

The related problems of "negative afterimages" and complementary colours.

Westen et al. Ch 5 pp. 140 Bond/McConkey Prt 3 pp. 15-16

#### Slide 6

#### **Colour Vision**

Three Opponent-Colour Channels

Chromatic: Achromatic: Red vs Green Black vs White.

Blue vs Yellow

Westen et al. Ch 5 pp. 139-40 Bond/McConkey Prt 3 pp. 15-16

#### Slide 7

#### **Colour Vision Deficiencies**

Protan-, Deuteran-, Tritan-

Abnormal Matches & Poor Discrimination:

Ptrotanomaly (Red) Deuteranomaly (Green)

Red-Green Confusions(missing pigments):

Protanopia (Red); Deuteranopia (Green)

Blue/Green Confusions:

Tritanopia (Abnormal Red Pigment)

See Slide 20 for Population %

Westen et al. Ch 5 p. 140, Figure 4.14

#### Slide 8

#### Why Do Things Look Like They Do?

Because they are what they are Because we are what we are

Distinguishing "Sensation" & "Perception" Kurt Koffka 1935 "Principles of Gestalt Psychology"

Westen et al. Ch 5 pp. 155-56

Bond/McConkey Prt 3 pp. 73-77

#### Slide 9

#### **Size & Distance Perception**

The Proximal Stimulus (retinal images of objects)

The Distal Stimulus (external objects)

[What happens to size of retinal image as object changes distance? What happens to perceived

size of object?]

Basic definitions

Bond/McConkey Prt 3 p. 65 -71

#### Slide 10

#### **Size Constancy**

Size-distance relationships Monocular (Pictorial) Distance Cues

Texture & Linear Perspective

Occlusion (one object partially obscures another)
Relative Size

Westen et al. Ch 5 pp. 162-163 Bond/McConkey Prt 3 pp. 65-71

<sup>\*</sup>Weston, D., Burton, L. & Kowalski, R. (2006). Psychology: Australian and New Zealand Edition. Milton: John Wiley & Sons Australia Ltd.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Broerse, J. Sensory Systems. (2001) In N. Bond & K. McConkey (eds), Psychological Science. McGraw Hill: Sydney.

# UNIVERSITY OF QUEENSLAND SCHOOL OF PSYCHOLOGY

#### Slide 11

#### **Size-Distance Illusions**

The Ponzo Illusion

Applying size-distance relationships to sense data, inappropriately (misapplied constancy scaling).

Underlies the old idea (e.g., Helmholtz) that perception involves unconscious inferences from sense data

Westen et al. Ch 5 pp. 163-64 Bond/McConkey Prt 3 pp. 68-69

#### Slide 12

#### Binocular depth & Distance

Retinal (or binocular) Disparity
Left Eye Right Eye

#### **OBJECT**

Compare the views of an object from the vantage point of each eye.

Westen et al. Ch 5 pp. 158-60 Bond/McConkey Prt 3 p. 67

#### Slide 13

#### **Shape Constancy**

A special case of size constancy Consider the 2-dimensional shapes of an image of an object as the object rotates in the frontoparallel plane. <u>Question</u>: The shape of the image changes, what happens to the perceived shape of the object. [Broerse, J. Asthon, R., Shaw, C. 1992, *Perception*, 21, 261-68]

Westen et al. Ch 5 p. 162. Apply Bond/McConkey Prt 3 pp. 70-71

#### Slide 14

## **Shape Constancy & Motion**

Object Rigidity

Consider rigidity as dynamic shape constancy: If a rotating object can be perceived as rigid, it will be.

[Broerse, J. Li, R. Ashton, R. 1994, *Perception*, 1049-62]

Westen et al. Ch NA. Bond/McConkey Prt 3 p. 57

#### Slide 15

## Figure-Ground Segregation

The Gestalt Alternative

In conventional theories of perception, "objects" are constructed ("unconscious inference") from sensory data (e.g., size, distance, shape, colour). In the Gestalt alternative, objects (figures) are primary (the whole is more than just the sum of the parts).

Westen et al. Ch 5 pp. 155-56 Bond/McConkey Prt 3 pp. 73-77

#### Slide 16

### Find The Hidden Figure

At first glance, some displays give the impression of random and meaningless collections of blobs. Upon longer inspection, meaningful organisation appears to "pop-out". And once seen, it is difficult not to see the figure. (compare the idea of camouflage).

Westen et al. Ch 5 pp. 155-56 Bond/McConkey Prt 3 p. 76

#### Slide 17

## **Figure-Ground Segregation**

Perceptual Grouping & Pop-out

Gestalt Laws of:

Closure, Proximity, Similarity,

Good Figure (Prägnaz)

Visual search and pop-out

Westen et al. Ch 5 pp. 155-156 Bond/McConkey Prt 3 pp. 74-77

#### Appendix: Slide 18.

## **Colour Vision Deficiencies**

**Population Frequencies** 

Protanomaly (M 1.0%; F 0.02%)

Deuteranomaly (M 4.9%; F 0.4%)

Protanopia (M 1.0%; F 0.02%)

Deuteranopia (M 1.1%; F 0.01%)

Tritanopia (very rare)

#### Definitions

<sup>\*</sup>Weston, D., Burton, L. & Kowalski, R. (2006). Psychology: Australian and New Zealand Edition. Milton: John Wiley & Sons Australia Ltd.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Broerse, J. Sensory Systems. (2001) In N. Bond & K. McConkey (eds), Psychological Science. McGraw Hill: Sydney.

# UNIVERSITY OF QUEENSLAND SCHOOL OF PSYCHOLOGY

<sup>\*</sup>Weston, D., Burton, L. & Kowalski, R. (2006). Psychology: Australian and New Zealand Edition. Milton: John Wiley & Sons Australia Ltd.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Broerse, J. Sensory Systems. (2001) In N. Bond & K. McConkey (eds), Psychological Science. McGraw Hill: Sydney.